

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,413	02/27/2002		Avraham J. Domb	Q63391	7369
23579	7590	11/03/2006		EXAMINER	
PATREA L.			FLOOD, MICHELE C		
PABST PATI 400 COLONY		PLLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1200			1655		
ATLANTA,	GA 30361		DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/083,413	DOMB ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michele Flood	1655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	•
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft office of Appeal (with appeal fee) in control of the cepty missing the control of the cepty missing th	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 106.07(f). e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing da	FIRST REPLY WAS F 136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	ILED WITHIN te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 September 2006</u> . A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any replacements).	i)), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.35(a)).		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	Illowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-12,14-17,19-26 and 38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michele Flood

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1655 Continuation of 13. Other: Per the telephonic with Applicant's representative, Michael Terapane, the proposed amendments are deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing and simplifying the issues for appeal. See "Interview Summary", (PTOL-413), attached hereto.